

Indication criteria for genetic testing
Evaluation of validity and clinical utility

Indication criteria for disease:
Prader-Willi syndrome [SNRPN]

1. General information on authorship

Name and address of institution:

Name: *Institute of Human Genetics, Medical University of Lübeck*
Address: *Ratzeburger Allee 160*
Postcode: *D-23538*
City: *Lübeck*
Tel.: *+49-451-500-2620*
Fax: *+49-451-500-4187*
E-mail: *marianne.schirr@uk-sh.de*
Internet: *http://www.humangenetk.mu-luebeck.de*

Head of the institution:

Name: *Prof. Dr. Gabriele Gillesen-Kaesbach*
Tel.: *+49-451-500-2620*
Fax: *+49-451-500-4187*
E-mail: *g.gillesen@uk-sh.de*

Author of this text, date:

Name: *Prof. Dr. med. Eberhard Schwinger*
Tel.: *+49-451-500-6055*
Fax: *+49-451-500-4187*
E-mail: *schwing@uni-luebeck.de*
Date: *05.06.2007*

Reviewer, validation date:

Name: *Prof. Dr. Bernhard Horsthemke*
Tel.: *+49-201-723-4556*
Fax: *+49-201-723-5900*
E-mail: *bernhard.horsthemke@uni-due.de*
Date: *16.07.2007*

Translator, translation date:

Name: *Prof. Dr. Ulrich Langenbeck*
E-mail: *Ulrich.Langenbeck@gmx.net*
Date: *10.03.2008*

Re-editor, date:

Name:
Tel.:
Fax:
E-mail:
Date:

german society of human
genetics
www.gfhev.de

Chairman

Prof. Dr. med. André Reis, Erlangen

Vice Chairman

Prof. Dr. med. Olaf Riess, Tübingen
Prof. Dr. med. Evelin Schröck,
Dresden

Treasurer

PD Dr. rer. nat. Iris Bartels,
Göttingen

Secretary

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Christine Zühlke,
Lübeck

Scientific Advisory Board

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Gudrun Rappold,
Heidelberg

Prof. Dr. med. Jürgen Kohlhase,
Freiburg

Prof. Dr. med. Michael Speicher,
Graz

Prof. Dr. med. Jörg Schmidtke,
Hannover

(conference president 2008)

Prof. Dr. med. Klaus Zerres,
Aachen

(conference president 2009)

Prof. Dr. med. Uwe Claussen, Jena
(conference president 2010)

Address of chairman

Institut für Humangenetik
Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Schwabachanlage 10
91054 Erlangen
Tel 0049 (0)9131-852 2318
Fax 0049 (0)9131-209297
reis@humgenet.uni-erlangen.de

GfH-Office

Dipl.-Soz. Christine Scholz
Inselkammerstr. 4
82008 München-Unterhaching
Telefon+49 (089) 614 56 95 9
Telefax +49 (089) 55 02 78 56
organisation@gfhev.de

Bank Details

Postbank München
Konto 231 394 805
BLZ 700 100 80

IBAN

DE19 7001 0080 0231 3948 05

BIC

PBNK DEFF

Vereinsregister München

VR 12341

2. Disease characteristics

2.1 Name of the Disease (Synonyms): *Prader-Willi syndrome*

2.2 OMIM# of the Disease: *176270*

2.3 Name of the Analysed Genes or DNA/Chromosome Segments:
SNRPN / #15q11-q13

2.4 OMIM# of the Gene(s): *182279*

2.5 Mutational Spectrum:
*70% paternal deletion 15q11-q13,
25-30% maternal uniparental disomy 15 [upd(15)mat],
1% imprinting defect,
rarely a balanced translocation with breakpoint in the SNRPN locus.*

2.6 Analytical Methods:
Methylation test, FISH, microsatellite analysis, MLPA

2.7 Analytical Validation
Parallel analysis of negative and positive controls

2.8 Estimated Frequency of the Disease in Germany
(Incidence at birth ("birth prevalence") or population prevalence):
Prevalence at birth 1:10,000 to 1:25,000

2.9 If applicable, prevalence in the ethnic group of investigated person:
not applicable

2.10 Diagnostic Setting:

	yes	no
A. (Differential)diagnostics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Predictive Testing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
C. Risk assessment in Relatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Prenatal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comment:

3. Test characteristics

		Genotyp bzw. Krankheit	
		vorhanden	fehlend
Test	pos.	A	B
	neg.	C	D

A: richtig Positive C: falsch Negative
 B: falsch Positive D: richtig Negative

Sensitivität: $A/(A+C)$
Spezifität: $D/(D+B)$
pos. prädikt. Wert: $A/(A+B)$
neg. prädikt. Wert: $D/(C+D)$

3.1 Analytical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the genotype is present)

nearly 100%

3.2 Analytical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the genotype is not present)

nearly 100%

3.3 Clinical Sensitivity

(proportion of positive tests if the disease is present)

The clinical sensitivity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

nearly 100%

3.4 Clinical Specificity

(proportion of negative tests if the disease is not present)

The clinical specificity can be dependent on variable factors such as age or family history. In such cases a general statement should be given, even if a quantification can only be made case by case.

nearly 100%

3.5 Positive clinical predictive value

(life time risk to develop the disease if the test is positive).

nearly 100%

3.6 Negative clinical predictive value

(Probability not to develop the disease if the test is negative).

Assume an increased risk based on family history for a non-affected person. Allelic and locus heterogeneity may need to be considered.

Index case in that family had been tested:

nearly 100%

Index case in that family had not been tested:

can only be resolved by analysis of the not afflicted individual.

4. Clinical Utility

4.1 (Differential)diagnosis: The tested person ist clinically affected

(To be answered if in 2.10 "A" was marked)

4.1.1 Can a diagnosis be made other than through a genetic test?

no (continue with 4.1.4)

yes

clinically

imaging

endoscopy

biochemistry

electrophysiology

other (please describe)

4.1.2 Describe the burden of alternative diagnostic methods to the patient

4.1.3 How ist the cost effectiveness of alternative diagnostic methods to be judged?

4.1.4 Will disease management be influenced by the result of a genetic test?

no

yes

Therapy (please describe)

Growth hormone, diet, sports, psychological care

Prognosis (please describe)

Despite good care and acceptance of aids rather poor. Therapy with growth hormone improves the fat/lean body mass relation and final hight.

Management (please describe)

Difficult, because in most cases there is only slight understanding of necessary measures.

4.2 Predictive Setting: The tested person is clinically unaffected but carries an increased risk based on family history

(To be answered if in 2.10 "B" was marked)

4.2.1 Will the result of a genetic test influence lifestyle and prevention?

If the test result is positive (please describe)

If the test result is negative (please describe)

4.2.2 Which options in view of lifestyle and prevention does a person at-risk have if no genetic test has been done (please describe)?

4.3 Genetic risk assessment in family members of a diseased person

(To be answered if in 2.10 "C" was marked)

4.3.1 Does the result of a genetic test resolve the genetic situation in that family?

No.

4.3.2 Can a genetic test in the index patient save genetic or other tests in family members?

No.

4.3.3 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a predictive test in a family member?

No.

4.4 Prenatal diagnosis

(To be answered if in 2.10 "D" was marked)

4.4.1 Does a positive genetic test result in the index patient enable a prenatal diagnostic?

Yes.

5. If applicable, further consequences of testing

Please assume that the result of a genetic test has no immediate medical consequences. Is there any evidence that a genetic test is nevertheless useful for the patient or his/her relatives? (Please describe)

For the parents the result conveys clarity about the eventual cause of the disease.

It may bring relief from own feelings of guilt.

A positive test is prerequisite for growth hormone therapy.